What type of organization do you represent? Multiple Choice Poll 38 votes 38 participants a. Government - 6 votes b. Local NGO - 0 votes

c. International NGO - 4 votes

- d. Donor Institution / Agency 2 votes
- e. UN or International Organization 11 votes
- f. Indigenous or Community-based Organization 0 votes
- 0%
- g. Academic/Research Organization 15 votes



16%

0%

11%

5%

29%



What region are you working in?

Multiple Choice Poll

50 votes

50 participants

a. Africa - 13 votes



d. Europe - 8 votes



e. North America - 5 votes



f. Other - 7 votes








What is the biggest challenge in assessing degradation and regrowth in your country?

Multiple Choice Poll


✓ 56 votes

⇔ 56 participants

a. Activity Data - 25 votes




b. Emission Factors - 6 votes




c. Uncertainties - 15 votes



d. Other - 5 votes




e. I have not yet attempted to do this. - 5 votes





0

Which topic (idea, concept, method, or result) from the two talks is most relevant or interesting for your situation?








What would help you move toward acting on that topic?

Multiple Choice Poll


39 votes

39 participants


Financial or other resources - 15 votes



Technical capacity or staff - 15 votes




Policy or rule changes - 3 votes



Computing - 3 votes



Other - 3 votes







If you were to adopt decision support system like the matrix model, what form or what type of app is most useful / accessible for you?

Multiple Choice Poll

✓ 42 votes

⇔ 42 participants

Web interface - 20 votes

48%

App specific data format, such as Excel spreadsheet - 7 votes

17%

Model software / app downloaded and run on your own computer D. IPCC-style table E.

Other - 15 votes



0

Which strategies or challenges have you encountered or observed in assessing degradation or emission in your area of interest?

Uncertainty	dense	challenges	3:
satellites da	tas acquisitions, f	inancial and te.	
inconsistent	temporal gaps i	in data	
scientifically	forest	meth valid	ods
factors; Monitorin	g Data	Data sources	dry
politically types	Definition		
emissions		systems	equally
	technical		Many
Models reconciled	national fo	orest inventorie	
Transparency	capacity defin	nitions	





Is your country or study area using data from repeated ground-measurements in reporting degradation and/or regrowth in forest lands?



0	pen	text poll 🗹 25 responses 😂 24 participants
	ව	Anonymous For degradation we need higher resolution images and the costs are higher.
	9	Anonymous Cost;
	පු	Anonymous Limited coverage
	ව	Anonymous NO lack of funding, technical capacity, lack of commitment by host government
	9	Anonymous Yes, keeping uncertainty in a reasonable range
	වු	Anonymous Fund accessibility
	ව	Anonymous COMBINAR DATOS SATELITALES CON LOS DE CAMPO
	9	Anonymous Yes, getting back to exact geographic location with changing technology
	9	Anonymous Financial
	ව	Anonymous Additional and predictable funding.
	9	Anonymous Funding and staff to colect AND Analyse data
	වු	Anonymous Cost
	ව	Anonymous Yes, restrictive data sharing agreements especially for geographic locations of plots
	9	Anonymous Technical capacity
	වු	Anonymous Follow-up and support for activities to consolidate national processes
	ව	Anonymous Financial
	9	Anonymous Resources!
	පු	Anonymous A heartfelt yes and no!
	ට	Anonymous remote areas Tools Incentives
	8	Anonymous making inferences at sufficiently fine scales.



Currently, removals from degraded forests are not covered by jurisdictional carbon standards ART-TREES and VCS-NJR. What implications does this have in your country/work area?

Multiple Choice Poll

✓ 12 votes

⇔ 12 participants

This is a major limitation for my country/work area, where most ERs are from removals on degraded forests. - 5 votes

42%

Currently, there is not sufficiently accurate information on this in my country/work area, but it is important for the future. - 8 votes

67%

This is not the major source of ERs in my country. - 0 votes



~-

Are you familiar with the GFOI Methods and Guidance Document (MGD) and do you think it provides sufficient guidance on assessing degradation and regrowth?

Multiple Choice Poll

✓ 15 votes

⇔ 15 participants

I am familiar with GFOI MGD, and degradation/regrowth is well covered. - 1 vote

7%

I am familiar with GFOI MGD, but would like to see more guidance on degradation/regrowth. - 7 votes

47%

I am not familiar with GFOI MGD. - 7 votes

